



## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** ID 21-1796    **Version:** 1    **Name:**  
**Type:** New Business    **Status:** Agenda Ready  
**File created:** 9/24/2021    **In control:** Planning Board  
**On agenda:** 10/1/2021    **Final action:**  
**Title:** ORDINANCE - Change to Section 30-435 to add a section regarding synthetic turf material and installation standards.

**Sponsors:**

**Indexes:**

**Code sections:**

**Attachments:** 1. Ordinance, 2. Staff Report, 3. Synthetic Turf Resolution 15-23

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
10/1/2021	1	Planning Board	Approved	Pass

Agenda Item: 10(d)	Prepared By: Daniel J. Smith
Business: Ordinance	Department: Growth Management

**Subject:**

ORDINANCE - Change to Section 30-435 to add a section regarding synthetic turf material and installation standards.

### **BACKGROUND:**

### **DESCRIPTION:**

The City Council has asked the Planning Board to review the use of “synthetic turf” which is currently prohibited in the Land Development Code but allowed through the City as an approved ground cover pursuant to Resolution 15-23, adopted on March 16, 2015(the “Resolution”). In light of the previously approved Resolution, Staff is of the opinion that the language should be codified into the City’s Land Development Code, and the proposed draft ordinance is attached for your review.

Staff added the Resolution language to Section 30-435 (in red), and made modifications to the language in blue. One key change proposed to the language is the allowance of sports courts.

Staff researched other government agencies, and found that most have similar language as the City’s current Resolution. One key element that is not clear is the use of sythetic turf and its environmental impacts. Storm water runoff and heat gain seem to be the major factors that may have negetative effects.

We welcome your discussion and input.

**FUNDING SOURCE / FISCAL IMPACT:** N / A

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends the Planning Board approve the proposed amendments outlined in the staff report with the following findings:

1. The amendment is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and in particular the Future Land Use Element Objection 1.7.

**POTENTIAL MOTION:**

Move to approve Ordinance 21-XX