

1 City councilCITY OF MARCO ISLAND

2  
3 RESOLUTION NO. 20-\_\_\_\_\_  
4

5 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF MARCO ISLAND,  
6 FLORIDA, DENYING A SPECIAL PERMIT FOR A FIVE  
7 FOOT BOAT DOCK EXTENSION AT 1071 OLD MARCO  
8 LANE, MARCO ISLAND; MAKING FINDINGS; PROVIDING  
9 DEFINITIONS; DENYING THE BOAT DOCK EXTENSION;  
10 PROVIDING FOR FAILURE TO OBTAIN OTHER  
11 DEVELOPMENT PERMITS; PROVIDING FOR FAILURE TO  
12 COMPLY WITH APPROVAL; PROVIDING THAT THIS  
13 DEVELOPMENT ORDER DOES NOT CREATE ANY  
14 VESTED RIGHTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE  
15 DATE.  
16

17 **WHEREAS**, Section 54-115 of the of the Marco Island Waterways and Beaches  
18 Code relates to special permits to maximum protrusion lengths of a docking system; and  
19

20 **WHEREAS**, the Owner/Developer submitted a Boat Dock Extension Plan for the  
21 Development of a boat dock extension for 1071 Old Marco Lane, Marco Island, Florida;  
22 and  
23

24 **WHEREAS**, the City of Marco Island staff has reviewed and recommended denial  
25 of BD-20-000167, which is subject to a revised application; and  
26

27 **WHEREAS**, the dimensional requirements for the dock extension have not been  
28 met, because the required setback is fifteen percent (15%) of the seawall length, which  
29 length is approximately seventy-one feet (71'); and  
30

31 **WHEREAS**, the proposed setback as shown on the Boat Dock Extension Plan is  
32 shown as eight feet (8') is code deficient, but the Boat Dock Facilities Code requires at  
33 least a 10-foot, 7-inch side yard setback; and  
34

35 **WHEREAS**, the proposed dock protrudes greater than twenty-five percent (25%)  
36 of the channel width which is in violation of code requirements especially on a narrow 60-  
37 foot wide canal; and  
38

39 **WHEREAS**, currently there is no dock on the opposite side of the waterway, and  
40 based on code requirements and principles of navigation safety, at least fifty percent  
41 (50%) of the canal needs to be open and navigable, which would require at least a thirty  
42 feet (30') free and clear channel, and should a dock be proposed on the opposite shore  
43 of the canal, the maximum allowed (with an approved one foot (1') boat dock extension)  
44 would be thirteen feet (13'), thereby unfairly disadvantaging the landowner across the  
45 canal; and

46  
47       **WHEREAS**, the neighboring land to the South is partially on the end of the channel,  
48 and the proposed dock will be in the neighboring land occupant's view corridor, which is  
49 in violation of code requirements; and  
50

51       **WHEREAS**, the Owner/Developer has proposed two docked vessels on the  
52 Property as shown on the Boat Dock Extension Plan and one of the docked vessels will  
53 be twenty-six feet (26') in length, and the other docked vessel will be eighteen feet, five  
54 inches (18'-5") in length viewed and measured collectively exceed fifty percent (50%) of  
55 the water frontage, which is inconsistent with code requirements; and  
56

57       **WHEREAS**, the proposed dock extension will negatively impact the landowner  
58 across the channel when and if they decide to construct a dock, because if and when one  
59 is constructed, it will be limited to a maximum of thirteen feet (13'), if a one foot (1')  
60 extension is approved in order to maintain fifty percent (50%) of the waterway open for  
61 navigation; and  
62

63       **WHEREAS**, the Owner/Developer maintains that the water depth within five feet  
64 (5') of the Subject Property's seawall is of an inadequate depth; and  
65

66       **WHEREAS**, given that the first five feet of submerged bottoms is legally part of the  
67 Subject Property, the dock can extend out twelve feet (12') from the Subject Property's  
68 property line, and this provides adequate water depth negating the need for the additional  
69 five-foot (5') dock extension; and  
70

71       **WHEREAS**, the additional protrusion will impact the neighboring property owner  
72 to the South by inhibiting said neighbor's ability to dock or egress the neighbor's property  
73 due to the Owner/Developer's additional dock protrusion; and  
74

75       **WHEREAS**, the City's Planning Board has reviewed the staff report and the Boat  
76 Dock Extension Plan, taken public testimony, and hereby recommends denial of the  
77 special permit conditioned upon the boat dock extension submission package and subject  
78 to certain findings set forth herein, as being non-compliant with applicable Boat Docking  
79 Facilities regulations on October 2, 2020 and November 6, 2020; and  
80

81  
82       **NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE PLANNING BOARD OF THE**  
83 **CITY OF MARCO ISLAND, FLORIDA:**  
84

85       **SECTION 1.     Recitals; Definitions.**  
86

87               (a)     That the foregoing "WHEREAS" clauses are ratified and confirmed  
88 as being true and correct and are made a specific part of this Resolution.  
89

(b) That as used herein, unless the context hereof, or City Code of Ordinances, requires to the contrary, the following terms will be defined as set forth below:

(1) "Boat Dock Extension Plan" means the 4-page document, entitled Shallop – 1071 Old Marco Lane, Marco Is", prepared by Collier Seawall & Dock, LLC of Marco Island, FL, including Sheet A1 (Existing Conditions-2019 Aerial) dated May 6, 2020 without last date of revision; Sheet A2 (Proposed Design) dated April 21, with last date of revision being September 25, 2020; Sheet A3 (Electrical Design) dated May 6, 2020 with no date of revision; and Sheet A4 (Water Depths) dated June 22, 2020 with no date of revision.

(2) "City" means the City of Marco Island, a Florida Municipal Corporation.

(3) "Development" is defined as set forth in Section 163.3164, Florida Statutes.

(4) "Development Order" is defined as set forth in Section 163.3164, Florida Statutes.

(5) "Boat Docking Facilities" means the City of Marco Island Codes which consists of Section 54-100 through 54-118, Code of Ordinances of the City of Marco Island.

(6) "Owner/Developer" means Scott and Cynthia Shallop, 24710 E. River Road, Grosse Ile, MI 48138, as owners or developers of the Subject Property, and each of their respective successors and assigns.

(7) "Subject Property" means the following described parcel of land, lying, situate and being in the State of Florida, County of Collier, City of Marco Island, to-wit:

A Portion of Lots 2 and 3, Block 6, Old Marco Village, according to the plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 6, Page 3, Public Records of Collier County, Florida, described as follows:

From the Point of Beginning at the Northwest corner of said Lot 3, run N. 70°34'45" E. along the Northwest line of said Lot 3, for 135.00 feet; thence S. 19°25'15" E. along the Northeast line of said Lots 3 and 2, for 62.23 feet to a point of curvature; thence run 8.57 feet along the arc of a curve concave to the Northeast, having a radius of 30.00 feet and subtended by a chord having a length of 8.54 feet and bearing S. 27°36'11" E.; thence S. 58°26'08" W. for 139.33 feet to a point on the Southwest line of Lot 3; thence run N. 19°25'15" W. along the

Northwest line of Lot 3, for 100.00 feet to the Point of Beginning.

**SECTION 2. Adoption.** The Owner/Developer's special permit Petition 20-000167 for the boat dock extension as set forth on the Boat Dock Extension Plans on the Subject Property is hereby denied.

**SECTION 3. Failure to Adhere to Resolution.** That failure to adhere to the denial contained in this Resolution shall be considered a violation of this Resolution and the City Code, and persons found violating this Resolution shall be subject to the penalties prescribed by the City Code. The Owner/Developer understands and acknowledges that it must comply with all other applicable requirements of the City Code before it may commence construction or operation.

**SECTION 4. Development Order does not grant a vested right.** That issuance of this Development Order by the City does not in any way create any right on the part of the Owner/Developer to obtain a permit from a state or federal agency and does not create any liability on the part of the City for issuance of the approval if the Owner/Developer fails to obtain the requisite approvals or fulfill the obligations imposed by a state or federal agency or undertakes actions that result in the violation of state or federal law. All applicable state and federal permits must be obtained before commencement of the Development. This condition is included pursuant to Section 166.033, Florida Statutes, as amended.

**SECTION 5. Effective Date.** That this Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.

ADOPTED BY THE PLANNING BOARD OF THE CITY OF MARCO ISLAND, this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2020.

CITY OF MARCO ISLAND, FLORIDA

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Claire Babrowski, Chairman

ATTEST:

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Laura M. Litzan, City Clerk

Reviewed for legal sufficiency:

178

179

180 By: \_\_\_\_\_

181 Paul Gogleman, City Attorney