



City of Marco Island Community Development Department 50 Bald Eagle Drive Marco Island, FL 34145

Phone: 239-389-5000 or FAX: 239-393-0266

BOAT DOCKING FACILITY EXTENSION PETITION

Petition number: BD	Date Received:
Planner:	
ABOVE TO BE COMPL	ETED BY STAFF
Property Owner(s): SCOTT & CYNTHIA SHALL	.OP
Owner's Address: 24710 E RIVER RD GROSSE	E ILE, MI 48138
Telephone: 313-720-1426	Fax:
Agent's Name: COLLIER SEAWALL AND DOC	CK LLC
Agent's Address: 919 N COLLIER BLVD MARCO	D ISLAND, FL 34145
Telephone: 239-389-4700	Fax:
Site Information	
Address: 1071 OLD MARCO LN	Property ID #: 64614000005
Subdivision: 790200 - OLD MARCO VILLAGE	Block:6 Lot(s):3+2
Width of Waterway: 69.8 ft; measured from	
Width of Nav. Channel; 60' ft; measured from	n □ plat ☑ survey □ visual estimate
Total property water frontage: 70.9 ft	Total proposed protrusion: 22' ft
Setbacks provided: 11'&8' ft	Setbacks required: 11'&8' ft
Number and length of vessels to use facility: 1. $\underline{26}$	ft 2. <u>18'-5"</u> ft 3Ft
Description of project (# of slips, boatlifts, deck square REPLACE EXISTING DOCK WITH 541 SQ F	- ·
REINSTALL EXISTING LIFT	

Zoning and Land Use

Property	Zoning	Land Use	Protrusion of Existing Dock Facility
Subject	RSF-3	VACANT RESIDENTIAL	18'
N	RSF-3	RESIDENTIAL	22.1'
S	RSF-3	RESIDENTIAL	30'
Е	RSF-3	RESIDENTIAL	19'
W	RSF-3	RESIDENTIAL	44'

The following criteria, (pursuant to Ordinance 03-) shall be used as a guide by staff in determining its recommendation to The City of Marco Island Planning Board in Its decision to approve or deny a particular dock extension request. Please provide a narrative response to the listed criteria and/or questions. Attach additional pages if necessary.

Van the managed heat	daalilaa faailitu a		la all athan anthants a	الماسة ما السا	-4
Yes the proposed boat of	docking facility is	Heets or exceed	is all Other Setback a		stan
jeneral guide, -4 fe	et mean low	ere the prop water is dee	posed vessel(s) is med to be suffici	to be loca	ated (ow for
general guide, -4 fe mooring of the vesse	et mean low 1?	water is dee	med to be suffici	ent) to allo	w for
s there sufficient wo general guide, -4 fe mooring of the vesse Yes the proposed dock w	et mean low 1? will allow sufficie	water is dee	med to be suffici-	ent) to allo	w for
general guide, -4 fermooring of the vesse Yes the proposed dock v	et mean low 1? will allow sufficie	water is dee	med to be suffici-	ent) to allo	w for
general guide, -4 fermooring of the vesse	et mean low 1? will allow sufficie	water is dee	med to be suffici-	ent) to allo	w for

_ c	an not swim under dock or boat. The extension request is necessary to allow ingress/egress to the
d	ock.
_	
2! W	pes the proposed boat docking facility and moored vessel protrude greater than 5% of the width of the navigable waterway and is a minimum of 50% of the aterway width between dock structures/moored vessel(s) on the opposite side of the waterway maintained in order to ensure reasonable waterway width for avigability?
Y	es. It protrudes 1.5" beyond. There are no other structures on teh other side of the waterway
_	
5(the proposed dock of minimal dimensions necessary in order to adequately ecure the moored vessel while providing reasonable access to the boat for routine adintenance without the use of excessive deck area?
Y	es. The proposed deck area has been kept to a minimum and allows access to the vessels for routing
m	aintenance. The total area is 541 sq ft with access to the vessel on both sides. The extension will
-	

	Is the proposed structure of minimal dimensions and located (designed) to minimize the impact of view to the channel by surrounding property owners?				
	Ye	s. the proposed structure is of minimal dimentions and designed to minized the impact of the view			
	and	d will not impact the surrounding property owners.			
7.	the vie	he moored vessel in excess of 50% of the length of the waterfrontage such that addition of a dock structure will increase the Impact on or negatively impact the w to the waterway by surrounding property owners? (In the case of multi-family			
		velopments and public marinas, the 50 percent provision may be exceeded). The design of the dock does not allow for more then 50% of the linear ft of water frontage or			
	sho	oreline.			
8.	ve:	I the proposed location and design of the boat docking facility and moored ssel(s) be such that it may infringe upon the use of neighboring properties, sluding any existing dock structures?			
		b. Proposed location will not infringe upon the use of neighboring properties and is designed to			
	mi	nimize any impacts to the neighboring structures.			
	0				
10.	. Re	garding existing benthic organisms in the vicinity of the proposed extension:			
	a.	Are seagrasses located within 200 feet of the proposed dock?			
		No. The proposed dock has sa Department of Environmental Protection and Army Corps of			
		Engineer permits. They have determined no seagrass was present within 200' of proposed dock.			
		We also have physically inspected, no seagrass is present.			

b. Is the proposed dock subject to the manatee protection requirements in Sec 10 of Ordinance 00-04?

No. The manatee protection requirements are applicable to multi-slip boat docking facilities with

ten slips or more; multi-family developments; and all marina facilities. The proposed dock facility is not subject to the manatee protectin criteria because it is not a ten slip docking facility and does not meet the requirements of a marina. We do have to allow for the extension to allow the manatees to swim under moored vessels and/or while waiting to get on possible future boat lifts.